

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1
10/721,137	11/24/2003	Mikio Yotsumoto	10873.0888USD1	6360	
23552	7590 10/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
MERCHANT P.O. BOX 290	C & GOULD PC		OMGBA, ESSAM	ESSAMA	_
	IS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3726		

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Analiaant(a)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/721,137	YOTSUMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Essama Omgba	3726			
Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 and 42-44 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,27-30 and 42-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19-26 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/088,338</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	.4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/24/03</u>. 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Welter et al. (US Patent 5,074,477) in view of Adelmann (US Patent 5,251,824). With regards to claim 1, Welter et al. discloses a method for disassembling a refrigerator comprising collecting a refrigerant gas and removing a compressor (col. 2, lines 4-13), cutting processing and separating a heat-insulating housing including a heat insulator into a plurality of pieces (col. 5, lines 59-68 and col. 6, lines 1-4). Welter et al. does not disclose compressing/processing the pieces by compression rollers opposing each other so as to collect a gas contained in the heat insulator. However Adelmann teaches by compressing foamed plastic so as to collect foaming agents, see column 1, lines 49-64 and column 2, lines 5-12. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have compressed/processed the cut pieces of Welter et al. so as to collect a gas contained therein, in light of the teachings of Adelmann, in order to collect the gas at a low cost and leave only a minimal residue of gas. Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to properly compress the heatinsulating housing, see column 2, lines 10-12 of Adelmann.

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

With regards to claim 2-5, Applicant should note that it is within the general knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to appropriately cut the refrigerator unit for processing.

3. Claims 6-18, 27-30 and 42-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welter et al./Adelmann as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Matsuda (US Patent 6,598,813).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

Welter et al./Adelmann teaches a method for disassembling a refrigerator as shown above except for a cutting device as claimed. However Matsuda teaches such cutting device, see whole document. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used such a cutting device in the method of Welter et al./Adelmann, in light of the teachings of Matsuda, in order to improve reliability of the cutting tool and increase its life span.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, 4. but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 5. applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgba whose telephone number is (703) 305-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10-7:30) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (703) 308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/721,137

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Essama Omgba Primary Examiner Art Unit 3726

eo October 25, 2004